



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,734	03/15/2004	Akihiko Asakawa	250251US0CONT	2076
22850	7590	04/05/2006	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HU, HENRY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/799,734	Applicant(s) ASAKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Henry S. Hu	Art Unit 1713	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Henry S. Hu. (3) _____

(2) Harris Pitlick (registration # 38,779). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: all.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

HS
3-29-06

DSW

DAVID W. WU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Several issues raised on Non-Final rejection of February 3, 2006 are discussed, particularly on two 102 rejections and one 103 rejection of parent Claim 1 regarding a blend of two polymers. In order to possibly overcome the prior art of Uemae, Adachi and Labana in combination or alone, the Applicants propose to amend parent Claim 1 by further limiting the resin having a Tg of 0-40 °C to carry crosslinkable reactive groups. An unexpected result will be presented in the amendment. The Applicants also point out two things as: (A) the resins used by Uemae and Adachi are broader and may not have a specific Tg range at 0-40 °C, and (B) all the second resins by Uemae and Labana would not carry crosslinkable group since they are useful as a charge-controlling agent, a film smoothness improver or a flow -controlling agent. Finally, the Examiner points out a new search will be needed so as to check such a new polymer blending.

H. L. J.

3-28-06